

Reduce Your Risk!" **Independent Slip Testing Services** GLOBAL PRODUCT CLASSIFICATION

TEST REPORT SLIP RESISTANCE CLASSIFICATION OF **NEW PEDESTRIAN SURFACE MATERIALS**

AS/NZ: 4586.2013 **Appendix A - Wet Pendulum Testing Appendix B - Dry Friction Testing**

Prepared For: Forte Flooring

Product Description: Artiste, Brown Timber

Test Date: 19-03-2020





💭 +61 (0) 411 600 733 📔 🌽 +64 (0) 279 735 266 📔 www.gpcsliptesting.com

TEST REPORT- Wet Pendulum Slip Resistance Classification (New Zealand Standard)

| Report Prepared for: | Forte Flooring 3 Keith Place Pukekohe Auckland 2120 | Page #: Contract #: | 1 of 1 8006 |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Test Date: | 19-03-2020 | | |
| Test Site: | Independent Slip Testing Services- Slip Resistance Laboratory (Lota QLD HQ) | | |
| Testing Technician: | A.Burch | | |
| Testing Instrument: | Pendulum Skid Tester with Slider 96 (4S) rubber slider | | |
| | Testing Instrument Serial #: SK1105 (W1) | | |

| TESTING SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION, SIZE, COLOUR, TY | PF. & COATING (if applicable) |
|--|-------------------------------|
| | |

1 X Artiste, Brown Timber, Sample Size 30x26cm

2. 1 X Artiste, Brown Timber, Sample Size 30x26cm

3. 1 X Artiste, Brown Timber, Sample Size 30x26cm

(3 X Samples tested in 5 X locations)

| Surface Condition: | Fine Textured | Cleaning: | Tested as received |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Fixed/ Unfixed: | Unfixed | Rz Mean: | n/a |
| Environmental Conditions: | Air conditioning | Air Temp: | 24 Deg.C |
| Direction of Test: | As indicated on underside of sample | Slope: | n/a |

| INTERPRETATION OF THE WET PENDULUM RESULTS | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Classification | Pendulum mean BPN Slider 96 (4S) rubber | Notional contribution of the floor surface to the risk of slipping when wet | |
| V | >54 | Very Low | |
| W | 45-54 | Low | |
| х | 35-44 | Moderate | |
| Y | 25-34 | High | |
| Z | <25 | Very High | |

TEST RESULTS

Specimen #1 Result: 42 bpn 29 bpn #2 Result: #3 Result: 31 bpn #4 Result: 33 bpn #5 Result: 39 bpn


Slider condition (P400): 87 BPN Temperature adjustment: n/a

CLASSIFICATION

| CLASSIFICATION | PENDULUM MEAN BPN Slider 96 (4S) rubber | NOTIONAL CONTRIBUTION OF THE FLOOR SURFACE TO THE RISK OF SLIPPING WHEN WET |
|----------------|--|--|
| x | 35 BPN | Moderate |

The mean results of the five specimens is reported (rounded to nearest whole number)

^ When an individual result both below the result classification and below the mean result minus 20% shall be considered of lower classification





+61 (0) 411 600 733

⁷+64 (0) 279 735 266

www.gpcsliptesting.com

Independent Slip Testing Services

WET TEST RESULTS INTERPRETATION GUIDE (NEW ZEALAND STANDARD)

| INTERPRETING WET TEST RESULTS | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| How to interpret your wet test report | | | |
| Wet test results offer five possible outcomes- classification 'V', 'V | N', 'X', 'Y' or 'Z'. | | |
| The classification 'Z' reflects a lesser slip resistant surface, while ' | | | Pendulum |
| slip resistance classification. | | Four S r | ubber |
| Step 1. If the test result classification reported meets (or exceeds) the rel | ated classification from 'TABLE 1' | >5 | 4 |
| below, the test surface is meeting the relevant requirement. | | 45- | 54 |
| | | 35- | 44 |
| *TABLE 1 | | 25- | 34 |
| Pedestrian flooring selection guide- Minimum pendulum | recommendations | <2 | 5 |
| for specific locations (HB197:1999) | | | |
| Location | Pendulum | | |
| 1. External colonnade, walkways & pedestrian crossings | W | For s | surfaces th |
| 2. External ramps | V | | |
| 3. Entry foyers hotel, office & public buildings -wet areas | х | | |
| 4. Entry foyers hotel, office & public buildings -dry areas | Z | | We |
| 5. Shopping centre (excluding food court) | Z | Cleaning pro | cedures |
| 6. Shopping centre food court | х | Acid etching | |
| 7. Internal ramps, slopes (greater than 2 degrees) -dry areas | х | Wet sand/ S | oda blastir |
| 8. Lift lobbies above external entry level | Z | Shot blasting | 5 |
| 9. Other separate shops inside shopping centres | Z | Textured coa | atings |
| 10. Other shops with external entrances- entry area | Х | Surface repla | acement |
| 11. Fast food outlets, buffet food servery areas | х | An internet sea | rch for 'floo |
| 12. Hospitals and aged care facilities- dry areas | Z | of detailed | proposals w |
| 13. Hospitals and aged care facilities- ensuites | Х | | |
| 14. Supermarket aisles except fresh food areas | Z | | |
| 15. Shop and supermarket fresh fruit & vegetable areas | Х | | |
| 16. Communal changing rooms | Х | R' Ratings | The Ram |
| 17. Swimming pool surrounds and communal shower rooms | W | | There is |
| 18. Swimming pool ramps and stairs leading to water | V | References | *Table 1 |
| 19. Toilet facilities in offices, hotels, shopping centres | Х | | 1999 and |
| 20. Undercover concourse areas of sports stadium | Х | | *Table 2- |
| 21. Accessible internal stair nosings (dry areas)- handrails present | Х | | Slip resis |
| 22. Accessible internal stair nosings (wet areas)- handrails present | W | *The infor | mation pro |
| 23. External stair nosings | W | | |

| | Classification of Pedestrian Surface Materials (AS) NZS.4580.2004) | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Interpretation of the Wet Pendulum Results (AS/NZS.4663:2004) | | | | | |
| Pendulum* mean BPN Notional contribution of the floor surface | | | | | |
| Four S rubber | TRL rubber | Classification | to the risk of slipping when water wet | | |
| >54 | >44 | V | (Very Low) | | |
| 45-54 | 40-44 | W | (Low) | | |
| 35-44 | - | Х | (Moderate) | | |
| 25-34 | - | Y | (High) | | |
| <25 | - | Z | (Very High) | | |

*TABLE 2 Classification of Dedectrian Surface Materials (AS/NI75 4595:2004

| TREATIN | 1ENT OP | TIONS |
|---------|----------------|-------|
| | | |

or surfaces that achieve a BPN result below the recommendations the following are options are available to

increase slip resistance and Reduce Your Risk!

While ISTS is solely an audit service, following is a short list of common types of treatments we see our clients using to improve the slip resistance of various pedestrian surface materials...

- lures Detergent residues can build up over time with heavy detergent use. For tiled surfaces. Can vary in performance with different tile types.
- **blasting** To obtain a textured finish to tiles and other hard surfaces (may require sealing).

More extreme treatment to wet sand blasting (may require sealing).

Ensure a consistent texture is achieved.

Replacement surface may be the most cost effective option in some locations

internet search for 'flooring treatments' will identify surface treatment professionals in your local area. ISTS recommends sourcing a number of detailed proposals when considering treatments, outlining expected slip resistance improvements, visual changes, clean ability and life expectancy.

ADDITIONAL NOTES & REFERENCES

'RatingsThe Ramp 'R' ratings are obtained using the ramp test. An 'R' rating can not be achieved for in-situ testing.There is no correlation between 'R' ratings and wet pendulum test results.

Intervences *Table 1- HB197:1999 "An Introductory Guide to the Slip Resistance of Pedestrian Surface Materials" CSIRO 1999 and Standards Australia 1999

*Table 2- AS/NZS.4586:2004 Slip resistance classification of new pedestrian surfaces & AS/NZS.4663:2004 Slip resistance measurement of existing pedestrian surfaces

*The information provided is intended as a guide only, consult the referenced publications for further information in regards to measurement results and recommendations



)+61 (0) 411 600 733

🖉 +64 (0) 279 735 266 📔 www.gpcsliptesting.com

TEST REPORT- Dry Floor Friction Slip Resistance Classification (New Zealand Standard)

| Report Prepared for: | Forte Flooring 3 Keith Place Pukekohe Auckland 2120 | Page #: Contract #: | 1 of 1 8006 |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Test Date: | 19-03-2020 | | |
| Test Site: | Independent Slip Testing Services- Slip Resistance Laboratory (Lota QLD HQ) | | |
| Testing Technician: | A.Burch | | |
| Testing Instrument: | Tortus Dry Floor Friction Tester with Slider 96 (4S) rubber | | |
| | Testing Instrument D8- Serial #: 376 | | |

| TESTING SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION, SIZE, COLOUR, TYPE, & COATING (if applicable) | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|----------------------------|--|
| 1. 3 X Artiste, Brown Timber, Sample Size 30x26cm | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Surface Condition: | Fine textured | Cleaning: | With a dry lint free cloth | |
| Fixed/ Unfixed: | Unfixed | Rz Mean: | n/a | |
| invironmental Conditions: Air conditioning Air Temp: 24 deg.C | | | | |
| Direction of Test: As indicated on underside of sample Slope: n/a | | | | |

AS/NZS.4586:2004

| INTERPRETATION OF THE DRY FLOOR FRICTION RESULTS | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| CLASSIFICATION | FLOOR FRICTION TESTER | NOTIONAL CONTRIBUTION OF THE FLOOR | |
| MEAN VALUE SURFACE TO THE RISK OF SLIPPING WHEN DR | | | |
| F | ≥40 | Moderate to Very Low | |
| G | < 40 | High to Very High | |

TEST RESULTS

| Specimen | Test Run #1 result: | 0.57 |
|----------|---------------------|------|
| | Test Run #2 result: | 0.52 |

CLASSIFICATION

| CLASSIFICATION | # Mean COF Rounded to 0.05 | NOTIONAL CONTRIBUTION OF THE FLOOR SURFACE TO THE RISK OF SLIPPING WHEN DRY |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| F | 0.55 | Moderate to Very Low |

Results Comments:

- 1. * Indicates an individual test run registered below 0.40
- 2. ** Indicates a test sector of an individual test run is < 0.35; resulting in a compulsory "G" classification
- 3. # The mean result of Test 1 & 2 is rounded to nearest 0.05
- nb. Test specimens are disposed after 1 month if not collected by client

DISCLAIMER:

Signatory: Mick Walton

DISCLAIMER: ISTS accepts no civil liability or responsibility for any actions whatsoever that may arise as a result of the tests and the publication and issue of this test report. The test report is intended for viewing purposes solely for the named recipient identified above. The slip test report remains the property of ISTS. This report contains privileged and confidential information. The unauthorised reproduction of this report is prohibited.

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 testing and calibration. NATA is a signatory to the APLAC mutual recognition arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, calibration and inspection reports.



Testing was carried out using the Dry Friction Test Method in accordance with New Zealand Standard AS/NZS.4586:2004



GLOBAL PRODUCT CLASSIFICATION

Independent Slip Testing Services +61 (0) 411 600 733 | *+64 (0) 279 735 266 | www.gpcsliptesting.com

DRY TEST RESULTS INTERPRETATION GUIDE (NEW ZEALAND STANDARD)

INTERPRETING DRY TEST RESULTS

How to interpret your dry test report...

Dry test results offer two possible outcomes- classification 'F' or classification 'G'.

The classification 'G' reflects a less slip resistant surface, while the recommended 'F' classification reflects a greater slip resistant surface.

Step 1. Note the test location described in the left side column of your report, and the corresponding test result classification achieved (listed in the far right side column).

Step 2. If the test result classification listed is 'F', the test surface is meeting the relevant recommendations.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1. The mean test average is ≥0.40, however the result is 'G' classification ?

- A. The mean of the test results should be equal to or greater than 0.40 and each individual result should be equal to or greater than 0.35. If either of this criteria is not met, the lot shall be considered to be 'G' classification'.
- 2. What does * and ** indicate?
 - A. * Indicates part of a test run registered under 0.40.
 - ** Indicates part of a test run registered less than 0.35 resulting in a compulsory 'G' classification'.
- 3. Why are test results rounded to the nearest 0.05?
 - A. As described in the relevant standards, the mean result of Test 1 & Test 2 is rounded to nearest 0.05.
- 4. What is the classification requirement for particular locations as stated in publication SS 485:2011 Annex B?
 - A. The New Zealand testing standard indicates floors should have a dry floor friction classification of F unless normal usage dictates that the floor should have a low dry coefficient of friction, eg. dance floors.
- 5. How about dry testing for external areas?
 - A. Dry slip resistance measurement does not apply to external surfaces. If a pedestrian surface is likely to become wet and remain wet for any significant period of time, wet pendulum testing is the appropriate test method.
- 6. How do I improve the slip resistance of a surface currently achieving 'G' classification?
 - A. Many treatments and procedures are available to improve slip resistance. Treatment options will vary depending on the type of surface and whether a sealed or unsealed finish is required. Described on the right are a list of options to improve slip resistance and Reduce Your Risk!

| Classification of pedestrian surface materials according to the dry floor friction test. | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Classification (Notional contribution to risk) | Test Result Mean Value | | | |
| (AS/NZS.4663:2004) | (COF) | | | |
| F (Moderate to Very Low) | ≥ 0.40 | | | |
| G (High to Very High) | < 0.40 | | | |

*TARIF 3

TREATMENT OPTIONS

For test results that achieve a result below recommendations, the following treatment options are available to increase slip resistance and Reduce Your Risk!

> While ISTS is solely an audit service, following is a short list of common types of treatments we see our clients using to improve the slip resistance of various pedestrian surface materials...

| Cleaning procedures | Minimising detergent residue build up or other contaminants | |
|----------------------|---|--|
| Acid etching | Increasing surface texture. | |
| Coatings and sealers | Surface coatings and penetrative types. | |
| Surface texture | Coatings, etchants, sandblasting, shot blasting, etc. | |
| Surface replacement | May be the most cost effective option in some instances. | |
| | | |

An internet search for 'flooring treatments' will identify surface treatment professionals in your local area. ISTS recommends sourcing a number of detailed proposals when considering treatments, outlining expected slip resistance improvements, visual changes, clean ability and life expectancy.

ADDITIONAL NOTES & REFERENCES

References

*TABLE 1- HB197:1999 "An Introductory Guide to the Slip Resistance of Pedestrian Surface Materials" CSIRO 1999 and Standards Australia 1999

nb. The information provided is intended as a quide only, consult the referenced publications for further information in regards to measurement results and recommendations.



TEST PRODUCT IMAGE

Product Description: Artiste, Brown Timber, 30x26cm

Test Date: 19-03-2020





...Considering pedestrian surface enhancements, or developing your property?



If you are selecting, purchasing or installing pedestrian surface materials, an independent, accredited classification is a useful tool providing confidence to all stakeholders the product will perform as specified.

Independent Slip Testing Services is the global leader in accredited slip resistance measurement and classification of pedestrian surface materials prior to installation.

..see the ISTS 'GPC Results Interpretation Booklet' for guidance on pedestrian surface product selection.



TILES PAVERS STONE TIMBER VINYL RUBBER METAL TAPES COATINGS GRATINGS CONCRETE CARPETS STEP-NOSINGS TACTILES MOSAICS GLASS

Contact us any time if you have questions.



